



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/923,707	08/06/2001	James Phillip Slupe	HP10013721-1	1568
7.	7590 09/10/2004		EXAMINER	
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY			ELAHEE, MD S	
Intellectual Property Administration P.O. Box 272400		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Fort Collins, C	O 80527-2400		2645	
			DATE MAILED: 09/10/2004	_

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No	Applicant(s)					
	09/923,707	09/923,707 SLUPE, JAMES PH					
Office Action Summar	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Md S Elahee	2645					
The MAILING DATE of this com Period for Reply	munication appears on the cov	er sheet with the correspondence	address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMM - Extensions of time may be available under the proves after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this - If the period for reply specified above is less than the lif NO period for reply is specified above, the maxim - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for Any reply received by the Office later than three may be arrived patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704	MUNICATION. isions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, ho communication. iirly (30) days, a reply within the statutory num statutory period will apply and will expir reply will, by statute, cause the application on this after the mailing date of this communication.	wever, may a reply be timely filed ninimum of thirty (30) days will be considered to e SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of the to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	is communication.				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s	s) filed on						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This action is non-fi	nal.					
3) Since this application is in condi	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are pending in t	the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to re	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to b	w the Evaminer	•					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
		he drawing(s) is objected to. See 37					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is object			, ,				
			110 102.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a cl		5 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
	national Bureau (PCT Rule 17.						
* See the attached detailed Office a	action for a list of the certified of	copies not received.					
Attachment(s)		_					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Reviews	4) [Interview Summary (PTO-413)					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-144		Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (I	PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)	Other:	,				
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)	Office Action Summary	Part of Paper	No./Mail Date 02				

Application/Control National Property 1999 Application (Control National Property 1999) Application (Control Na

Art Unit: 2645

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: regarding claim 1, the word 'couple' used in page 17, line 7 of the claim appears to be the word 'coupled'.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 1, the phrase "couple to said input" is indefinite because it is unclear whether the controller is coupled to said input or one of plurality of radio station identities is coupled to said input.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Application/Control Number: 09/923,707

Art Unit: 2645

5. Claims 1, 2, 4-6, 11-14 and 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bates et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,748,237).

Regarding claim 1, Bates teaches a primary tuner 18 (i.e., radio receiver) having an input for receiving radio station identities (fig.6, block 166) for specifying radio stations for reception and an output indicating a presently received signal strength (fig.1, 6, 7; col.4, lines 9-23, 56-65, col.7, lines 61-67, col.8, lines 1-13, 37-51). (Note; tuner receives packets and packets contain the station identification, therefore, it is clear that the tuner receives station identification)

Bates further teaches a memory having stored therein a plurality of radio station identities organized according to program content specifiers (fig.1; col.3, lines 15-24, 34-36, 56-62, col.4, lines 56-67, col.5, lines 1-6, 11-23, col.8, lines 5-42). (Note; a set button is used to select the program or songs being received by the user and stored user preference information is used to select the station, it is clear that memory inherently stores the station identification)

Bates further teaches a controller coupled to the output and operable to recall, and couple to the input, one of the plurality of radio station identities referenced to the same program content specifier as the presently specified radio station when the presently received signal strength meets a threshold (fig.1, 4-7; col.4, lines 9-23, col.6, lines 29-41, 51-67, col.7, lines 20-45, 61-67, col.8, lines 1-13, 37-51).

Regarding claims 2, 12 and 14, Bates teaches that the plurality of station identities and the program content specifiers are manually programmed into the memory through a user interface on the apparatus (fig. 1, 5; col. 4, lines 9-14, 33-42, 66, 67, col. 5, lines 1-23, col. 7, lines 20-35, col. 8, lines 5-13). (Note; the ordered list is inherent)

Application/Control National Property 09/923,707

Art Unit: 2645

Regarding claims 4 and 16, Bates teaches that the plurality of station identities and the program content specifiers are programmed into the memory through a subscription service (fig.1, 5; col.4, lines 9-14, 66, 67, col.5, lines 1-23, col.7, lines 20-35, col.8, lines 5-13).

Regarding claims 5 and 17, Bates teaches that the plurality of station identities and the program content specifiers are programmed into the memory with packets (i.e., data) received by the radio receiver (fig.1, 5; col.4, lines 9-14, 66, 67, col.5, lines 1-23, col.7, lines 20-35, col.8, lines 5-13).

Regarding claims 6 and 18, Bates teaches that the controller is operable to sequentially scan the memory to locate the one of the plurality of radio station identities that is recalled and coupled to the input each subsequent time the presently received signal strength meets said threshold (fig.1, 5-7; col.4, lines 9-14, 33-42, 66, 67, col.5, lines 1-23, col.7, lines 20-35, col.8, lines 5-13).

Regarding claim 11, Bates teaches that the memory has stored therein an ordered list of program content specifiers, and wherein the controller is operable to sequence through the ordered list to define a replacement present program content specifier when the controller in unable to locate and recall one of the plurality of radio station identities referenced to the same program content specifier as the presently specified radio station (fig. 1, 5; col. 4, lines 66, 67, col. 5, lines 1-23, col. 7, lines 20-35, col. 8, lines 5-13). (Note; the ordered list is inherent)

Regarding claim 13 is rejected for the same reasons as discussed above with respect to claim 1. Furthermore, Bates teaches monitoring the signal strength of a present radio station signal (fig.6; col.6, lines 27-35, col.7, lines 20-35, col.8, lines 21-51).

Application/Control Namber: 09/923,707

Art Unit: 2645

Bates further teaches determining that the signal strength has met a threshold (fig.6; col.6, lines 27-35, col.7, lines 20-35, col.8, lines 21-51).

Bates further teaches selecting a radio station identity from the memory that has the same program content specifier as the present radio station (fig.1; col.3, lines 15-24, 34-36, 56-62, col.4, lines 56-67, col.5, lines 1-6, 11-23, col.8, lines 5-42). (Note; a set button is used to select the program or songs being received by the user and stored user preference information is used to select the station, it is clear that memory inherently stores the station identification)

Bates further teaches tuning the primary tuner 18 (i.e., radio receiver) according to the selected radio station identity (fig.1, 6, 7; col.4, lines 9-23, col.7, lines 20-35, col.8, lines 5-13, 21-51).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 3, 7, 8 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bates et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,748,237) and in view of Bickford et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,021,320).

Regarding claims 3 and 15, Bates fails to teach "said plurality of station identities and said program content specifiers are programmed into said memory by the supplier of the apparatus". Bickford teaches that the plurality of station identities and the program

Application/Control Namber: 09/923,707

Art Unit: 2645

content specifiers are programmed into the memory by the supplier of the apparatus (col.2, lines 28-31, col.13, lines 10-12). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Bates to allow the plurality of station identities and the program content specifiers being programmed into the memory by the supplier of the apparatus as taught by Bickford. The motivation for the modification is to have doing so in order to create a signal category if the signal category does not preexist.

Regarding claim 7, Bates does not specifically teach "said the memory has stored therein a plurality of location coordinates associated with said plurality of radio station identifiers". Bickford teaches that the memory has stored therein a plurality of location (i.e., location coordinates) associated with the plurality of radio station identifiers (fig.7; col.8, lines 4-21, col.13, lines 10-12). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Bates to allow the memory stored therein a plurality of location coordinates associated with the plurality of radio station identifiers as taught by Bickford. The motivation for the modification is to have doing so in order to find out the available programs corresponding to a particular location.

Regarding claim 8 is rejected for the same reasons as discussed above with respect to claim 7. Furthermore, Bates teaches that the controller is operable to scan the plurality of radio station identifiers in the memory ordered according to the program content specifiers (fig. 1, 5; col. 4, lines 66, 67, col. 5, lines 1-23, col. 7, lines 20-35, col. 8, lines 5-51).

Application/Control Namber: 09/923,707

Art Unit: 2645

8. Claim 9, 10 and 19-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bates et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,748,237) and in view of Bickford et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,021,320) and further in view of Dennison et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,815,814).

Regarding claims 9 and 20, Bates in view of Bickford does not specifically teach "a global positioning system receiver coupled to said controller for providing present location coordinates of the apparatus". Dennison teaches a global positioning system receiver coupled to the logic circuitry (i.e., controller) for providing present location coordinates of the apparatus (abstract; fig.6; col.5, lines 54-62, col.6, lines 37-54). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Bates in view of Bickford to incorporate a global positioning system receiver coupled to the controller for providing present location coordinates of the apparatus as taught by Dennison. The motivation for the modification is to have doing so in order to determine the precise location of a mobile unit.

Regarding claims 10 and 21 are rejected for the same reasons as discussed above with respect to claims 7 and 9. Furthermore, Bates teaches that the controller is operable to search the memory to locate the one of the plurality of radio station identities that is recalled and coupled to the input according to the program content specifier of the presently received signal (fig.1, 5-7; col.4, lines 9-14, 33-42, 66, 67, col.5, lines 1-23, col.7, lines 20-35, col.8, lines 5-13).

Regarding claim 19 is rejected for the same reasons as discussed above with respect to claims 7 and 9.

Regarding claim 22 is rejected for the same reasons as discussed above with respect to claim 11.

Art Unit: 2645

Regarding claim 23 is rejected for the same reasons as discussed above with respect to claim 12.

Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Md S Elahee whose telephone number is (703) 305-4822. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon to Fri from 8:30am to 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Fan Tsang can be reached on (703) 305-4895. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MD SHAFIUL ALAM ELAHEE September 4, 2004

FAN TSANG SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600